1	ESTEBAN-TRINIDAD LAW, P.C. M. LANI ESTEBAN-TRINIDAD, Nevada Bar No. 006967 AMY M. ROSE, Nevada Bar No. 12081 4315 N. Rancho Drive, Ste. 110	
2		
3		
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89130 Telephone: (702) 736-5297	
5	Fax: (702) 736-5299	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff,	
7	BIANCA MCCALL	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9 10	BIANCA MCCALL, an individual; ) Plaintiff, )	Case No. 2:11-cv-01643-GMN-RJJ
11	vs.	
12	UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SCHOOL OF (MEDICINE, INTEGRATED CLINICAL (MEDICINE)	EMERGENCY MOTION FOR
13 14	SERVICES, a Nevada Corporation, doing business ) As MOJAVE ADULT CHILD AND FAMILY ) SERVICES; DOES 1 through 10 inclusive; ROES )	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE
15	CORPORATIONS/ENTITIES 1 through 10 )	
16	inclusive, ) Defendants. )	AND ORDER
17		
18	Plaintiff respectfully submits this Emergency Motion to Extend Time to file her Opposition to	
19	Defendant's Motion to Strike. Plaintiff files this Motion on an emergency basis and in good faith	
20	because of an inadvertent mistake concerning the deadline in which to file the opposition. Plaintiff	
21	moves the Court to extend the deadline to file her opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike to January	
22	2, 2012.	
23		
24	•••	
25	•••	
26		

-1-

72917.1

2
 3

4 5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27

This Motion is based on the pleadings and papers on file, the attached Affidavit of Counsel, the attached Points and Authorities and any oral argument the Court may entertain.

DATED this Aday of December, 2011.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

ESTEBAN-TRINIDAD LAW, P.C.

Amy M. Rose (Nevada Bar No. 12081) 4315 North Rancho Drive, Suite 110

Las Vegas, Nevada 89130 Telephone: (702) 736-5297

Fax: (702) 736-5299 Attorney for Plaintiff

#### **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

#### I. <u>introduction</u>

This Emergency Motion is based on Local Rules (LR) 6-1. Plaintiff files this Motion on an emergency basis and in good faith because of an inadvertent mistake concerning the deadline in which to file the opposition. On December 9, 2011, Defendant's filed its Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Strike and in the same document, filed its separate Motion to Strike. <u>See</u> Exhibit 1: PACER Printout. However, Defendant's counsel did not file the documents as two (2) separate filings. Therefore, Plaintiff's counsel did not receive notification of the separate deadlines until December 12, 2011. <u>See</u> Exhibit 2: PACER Printout.

However, there was an oversight on part of Plaintiff's counsel due to the holiday season concerning the deadline to file the Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike. <u>See</u> Affidavit of Amy M. Rose. The oversight was discovered on December 29, 2011 upon Plaintiff's counsel's return to the office

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

from the firm's holiday break.1

# II. GOOD CAUSE FOR PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTED EXTENSION

Under the Rules, a request can be made and granted after the expiration of the specified time period if the moving party can demonstrate that the failure to act was the result of excusable neglect. See LR 6-1. Plaintiff's counsel's oversight was excusable neglect.

#### PLAINTIFF'S EFFORTS TO CONFER WITH DEFENDANT

On December 29, 2011, immediately after discovery of the inadvertent mistake, Plaintiff's counsel, Ms. Rose, made an effort to personally confer and request for an extension of time to oppose Defendant's Motion to Strike, which was the preferred approach. <u>See</u> Rose Aff. However, Ms. Rose could only leave a message. Upon information and belief, Defendant's counsel is not in the office due to the holiday season. <u>See</u> Exhibit 3: University of Nevada School of Medicine Events Calendar. Ms. Rose also emailed Defendant's counsel with the request. <u>See</u> Exhibit 4: Email from Amy M. Rose. The Affidavit of Plaintiff's counsel attached hereto acts as certification of the attempt to confer with Defendant's counsel.

## NO PREJUDICE TO DEFENDANT BY PLAINTIFF'S REQUESTED EXTENSION

Defendant will not be prejudiced by Plaintiff's requested extension as Defendant may still have the proscribed period of time to reply to Plaintiff's opposition.

### III. PRIOR EXTENSIONS (LR 6-1)

This is Plaintiff's first request for extension to file her opposition to Defendant's Motion to Strike.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is common practice for Plaintiff's counsel to close the firm for the last week of each year to allow their staff to spend the holiday season with their families. See Aff. of Rose.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court extend the deadline to file her opposition to Defendant's Motion.

## IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, this Court should grant Plaintiff's Emergency Motion as Plaintiff's failure to file the Opposition in a timely fashion was a result of excusable neglect.

**DATED** this day of December, 2011.

ESTEBAN-TRINIDAD LAW, P.C.

A MAY BY DOG

Nevada Bar No. 12081

4315 N. Rancho Dr., Ste 110

Las Vegas, Nevada 89130

Tel: (702) 736-5297

Fax: (702) 736-5299

Attorney for Plaintiff

HAVING READ the foregoing Motion and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS SO ORDERED this 3rd day of January, 2012.

Glorja M. Navarro

United States District Judge